

LibQual+ Summary

The LibQual+ survey was administered to 43 libraries in the United States during April of 2001. There were 20,000 respondents from these 43 institutions, most of which belonged to the Association of Research Libraries. The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign contributed 669 respondents to this national effort. The survey was used in part to identify 25 questions that could be used in future surveys, thus eliminating one of the major complaints (redundancy of questions) from those who took the survey at the University of Illinois.

The LibQual+ team was also able to isolate 4 factors as contributing to the construct of library service quality. These four factors were Affect of Service, the Library as Place, Personal Control, and Access to Collections. This cuts in half the eight factors identified in the LibQual+ 2000 survey. These formerly used eight factors, and which were used to report this year's results are: Assurance, Empathy, Library as Place, Reliability, Responsiveness, Tangibles, Instruction/Custom Items, and Self-Reliance.

In addition to the responses to the 56 individual questions relating to these eight factors, three questions attempted to gauge an overall service quality and service satisfaction. The mean for these three overall areas (Overall Service Quality, Satisfaction with Service Affect, and Satisfaction with Support for Scholarly Efforts) was 6.99, 7.23, and 6.81 on a nine point scale. The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign scored above the mean in all three areas (see below).

What the survey did was to measure specific levels of satisfaction along a continuum. In answering specific questions, respondents were asked to indicate what the minimum level of service was, what the desired level of service was and what the perceived level of service was. In this way "gap" scores were developed to indicate the measurable difference between perceived and minimum and perceived and desired levels of service. Almost invariably a gap will exist between the desired level of service and the perceived level of service. While not ideal, the closer a service organization comes to the desired level the better. On the other hand when a negative gap appears between the minimum level and the perceived level (i.e. perceived service is less than what is minimally acceptable), then the library has a problem. The LibQual+ survey allows libraries to discern what broad user groups perceive about these various levels of service and therefore can tailor their remedial measures accordingly.

Summary results of the LibQual+ 2001 survey for the University of Illinois at U-C

Demographic overview

669 respondents

Faculty	25%
Grad	19%
Lib Staff	16%
Staff	23%
Undergrad	17%

By Discipline (defined by LibQual+ 2001)

Agriculture	7%
Architecture	0%
Business	6%
Education	5%
Engineering	16%
General Studies	0%
Health Sciences	2%
Humanities	10%
Law	1%
Other	24%
Performing and Fine Arts	4%
Science	13%
Social Sciences	11%
Undecided	0%

Gender Male 47% Female 53%

Age

<22	14%
22-30	22%
31-45	26%
>45	38%

Use on premises

Daily	22%
Monthly	27%
Never	2%
Quarterly	18%
Weekly	31%

Remote use of electronic library resources

Daily	20%
Monthly	20%
Never	11%
Quarterly	14%
Weekly	35%

Summary ratings

	Overall	Service Affect	Support Scholarly Efforts
Mean	6.99	7.23	6.81
UIUC	7.21	7.29	7.01

Gap Analysis – Problem Areas relating to specific survey questions

Aggregate with all respondents (43 North American Libraries)

Accuracy in the catalog, borrowing, and overdue records

Negative gap of .46

UIUC respondents

(selected areas showing trouble areas)

Undergraduate Students – no negative gaps

Graduate Students – no negative gaps

Faculty

Complete runs of journal titles -- negative gap of .43

Accuracy in the catalog, borrowing, and overdue records – negative gap of .67

A library website enabling me to find information on my own – negative gap of .39

Staff

Accuracy in the catalog, borrowing, and overdue records – negative gap of .25

A secure and safe place – negative gap of .01

Library Staff

Access to collections

Convenient access to Library collections – negative gap of .22

Assurance – **all negative gaps**

Employees who have the knowledge to answer user questions .78

Employees who are consistently courteous 1.02

Assuring users of the accuracy and confidentiality of their transactions .25

Employees who instill confidence in users .32

Empathy – **all negative gaps**

Employees who deal with users in a caring fashion .70

Employees who appear to enjoy what they do .65

Employees who understand the needs of their users .64

Having the users' best interests at heart .47

Library as Place – **all negative gaps**

Space for group/individual study and research 1.30

Space that facilitates quiet study 1.17

A comfortable and inviting location .96

A secure and safe place .86

A haven for quiet and solitude .66

Reliability – **all negative gaps**

Accuracy in the catalog, borrowing, and overdue records 1.74

Responsiveness – **all negative gaps**

Willingness to help users .43

Readiness to respond to users' questions .40

Prompt service to users .24

Tangibles – **all negative gaps**

Visually appealing facilities .86

Modern equipment that lets me easily access the information I need .72

Self-reliance – negative gap

Easy to use access tools that allow me to find things on my own .49