eResearch Implementation Committee

Meeting Notes, September 10, 2014

Present: Laila Hussein Moustafa, Karen Hogenboom, Sarah Williams, Susan Braxton, Sarah Shreeves, Ayla Stein, Harriett Green, Peg Burnette, Bethany Anderson (recorder), Christie Wiley, Kyle Rimkus, Beth Sandore Namachchivaya, and Heidi Imker

Agenda

● Announcements/updates
● Report from the DataCite meeting
● Reports from the UIUC Faculty Open House and share repository meeting
● Discussion about future needs/plans after eResearch Implementation Committee sunsets in March 2015

Announcements:

● (Karen) will be bringing speaker to the Library who will discuss machine learning (September 25, 12:30 pm, room 308); sponsored by Scholarly Commons and ICHASS

DataCite Meeting (Laila)

● Attended DataCite meeting in France; was a small group, discussions revolved around storing data, but not as much about accessibility and sharing; common language between researchers and librarians seems to be missing; Laila talked to several people she thought would be good speakers for our RDSIG meetings, who might be able to speak specifically on metadata and data creators
● Susan: Are we a member of DataCite yet? -- No
● Heidi asked if there was much discussion at DataCite about competing identifiers? We should be aware of what other systems people are developing
● Sarah S.: we are in the process of joining ORCID though CIC, and will be able to mint ORCIDs soon (ORCID has one competitor right now, but overall ORCID seems to be the predominant system for creating persistent identifiers for researchers)
● We might also want to look at what the Data Solutions Group is doing (though the focus is more on administrative data)

UIUC Faculty Open House, technology open house, and share repository meeting (Heidi)

● Had a table at the Faculty Open House and at CITES Open House; talked to a few faculty about research profiles
● Heidi, Kyle, Beth, and Sarah S. recently went to Chicago to meet with parties from other Midwest institutions, who are interested in forming a Midwest interest group for research data services; would it be a national or regional service? How would it scale?
Draft of MOU for DOI service (Susan)

- Do we want to restrict this service to only publicly available resource? No, we should add some language “Must be sharable or available upon request”
- Rights and permission language comes from CDL website; the researcher is giving us permission to tell people about the resource
- Karen: We should add a “Definitions” section to the MOU; for instance, what does “provide access” mean?
- For copyright, look at IDEALS for a model
- Essentially, the agreement grants us the authority to share metadata
- Might be a good idea to ask the people who participated in the EZID pilot to review
- Do we need to say that we own the DOI and metadata associated with it?
- We should add sections on user and Library responsibilities (user responsibility in case data is hosted off campus, etc.)
- Sarah S.: We should automate an annual ping to check in with user (like IDEALS does) to find out if anything has changed
- Discussed with Tom Habing re: workflow, should have library mediator enter the metadata, researcher is given read-only permission of form, reviews, and then clicks box to agree to terms and conditions

Future of eResearch Implementation Committee

- This committee ends March 2015
- What are some things this committee is doing that could be continued?
- From the time the task force was written, what are some things that could be addressed?
- Heidi: some issues to consider if you want to stay on the committee – your level of interest to continue on; EZID, DMPTool; blog; consider your time and interested and if you want to continue on
- Karen: How about if this committee morphs into an advisory committee?
- Beth: What are the commonalities with other activities that are going on in the Library? How can this group work across the Library?
- We may also want to consider including people from outside the Library too
- Will also have to consider what this means for our expertise in terms of how we hire people in the Library