eResearch Implementation Committee

Meeting Notes, December 4, 2013

Present: Sarah Shreeves, Harriett Green, Peg Burnette, Bethany Anderson (recorder), Christie Wiley, Kyle Rimkus, Sarah Williams, Karen Hogenboom, Beth Sandore Namachchivaya, and Dean John Wilkin

Agenda

● Announcements/updates
  ● Discuss plans for next meeting to discuss tracking research data questions with Sue Searing and Jen-chien Yu
  ● eResearch discussion with Dean Wilkin
  ● Open discussion

Announcements (Sarah W.):

● (Harriet) First blog post is up, is on research data services in the library: 
  https://publish.illinois.edu/research-data/2013/11/26/research-data-services-at-uiuc-library/
● Beth submitted a request for our URL domain; it was approved
● How are we going to create and edit pages? Possibly going to use Drupal and WordPress for the time being; it will eventually become a more robust site
● Karen and Sarah W. will again be organizing the Research Data Services Interest Group meetings for the Spring semester
● At the next meeting (12/18/13) Sue Searing and Jen-chien Yu will be present to discuss how we can track research data questions
  ○ Karen: Will this just be for internal reporting purposes, not metric?; Sarah W.: Yes

Meeting with Dean Wilkin

● Sarah W.: Discusses the eResearch Implementation Committee’s recent activities as well as the eResearch Task Force Report, and how the committee grew out of this task force; although we are waiting for the new RDS director, we are still moving forward with the development of preliminary research data services
● Dean Wilkin: Agrees that we should not wait until the RDS director is hired to keep things moving forward; it’s a good idea to build a culture for research data services. Do we have a website yet?
● Sarah W.: Right now it’s piecemeal and domain-specific; for instance, Karen Hogenboom has information related to numeric and spatial data services on the Scholarly Commons site)
● Dean Wilkin: Clearinghouse concept is important in order to have information about different types of data, especially discipline-specific
Sarah W.: We are also trying to talk to people about their data needs (since everyone will be using data differently)

Beth: Susan was part of a task force that looked at distribution of research data involvement in the library, and identified the need to address these gaps in order to ensure that all stakeholders are involved; it's important to develop a cohort around research data services

Sarah S.: We are also in the process of developing the DMPTool, because it will allow us to customize and create review paths, it can be branded, etc. It's a nice opportunity to involve liaison librarians

Dean Wilkin: As we develop these services, we should also develop our areas of responsibility

Sarah W.: It's also important to mention ORCID and Datacite

Sarah S.: Beth and I can speak to this -- we've been having conversations with CITES

Dean Wilkin: It's important to get this as upstream as possible in order to develop and implement these tools

Sarah S.: We're also looking at how other institutions are implementing these tools and services (e.g. Texas A&M)

Open Discussion

Peg: Likes the idea of having website set up as clearinghouse

Sarah W.: Scholarly Commons has also been creating their website with this in mind. What's the best terminology to use? Perhaps we could create a focus group

Susan: Are ORCID IDs similar to DOIs?

Sarah S.: Not necessarily; researchers can take their ORCID IDs with them when they leave an institution, but some funders are asking for them anyway; discussing ORCID might be a good idea for a future Research Services Data Interest Group meeting; Rebecca Bryant (former Asst. Dean for the Graduate College) might be a good person to contact about this

Susan: Is the Victoria Stodden talk up yet?

Sarah S.: Not yet. Another speaker who will be giving a campus-wide talk hosted by the Scholarly Commons around February 6; she could be a potential speaker for a future Research Services Data Interest Group meeting