eResearch Implementation Committee meeting
8/28/2013, 3:30 p.m.

Attendees: Sarah Williams (chair), Peg Burnette (recorder), Karen Hogenboom, Sarah Shreeves, Susan Braxton, Harriett Green, Bethany Anderson, Kyle Rimkus

Announcements: (Sarah W.)

- Staff Development and Training is supporting a group viewing of two upcoming NISO webinars:
  
  **E-Science Librarianship**
  Wednesday, September 11
  Noon – 1:30pm
  314 Library

  **Libraries and Big Data**
  Wednesday, September 18
  Noon – 1:30pm
  428 Library

- There is a proposal for a joint meeting with the User Education Committee to discuss data information literacy at UIUC before the Data Information Literacy Symposium that will be held Sept. 23-24, 2013 at Purdue University.

Agenda:

**Update on EZID Pilot** (Susan B.)

The draft, located in the eResearch Committee Box folder, is ready for review. Committee members are asked to review the document in preparation for discussion at the Sept. 11 meeting of the eResearch Implementation Committee.

Issues Highlights:

- Determining what kinds of resources are appropriate for inclusion in the pilot (for example, one document in the collection has an ISBN number); ingestion may be limited to materials that meet funder data requirements.
- Deciding who will have administrative privileges; access will likely be limited to library personnel during the pilot period.
- Determining needs of users and how the service can function to best meet those needs.

**Discussion about Research Data Services IG topics** (Sarah W. and Karen H.)

Sarah and Karen identified the following topics for fall monthly meetings of the interest group:
• **September** – Campus Research Data Service plans (Beth and Sarah S.)

• **October 24, 2013** – In conjunction with the Scholarly Commons, Victoria Stodden will speak in the morning on the topic of Reproducible Research. In the afternoon she will meet with the Campus Data Stewardship Committee, representatives from GSLIS, and representatives from CIRSS.

• **November** – Panel Discussion – *Buying Data*; panelists may include Harriett Green, Karen Hogenboom, Lynn Wiley and Tom Teper.

• **December** – Roundtable Discussion – *How to get up to speed and help users with data needs*

• **Spring** – Hands on session for data management tools, such as DMPTool.

Survey results and suggestions from the initial meeting of the interest group will inform topics for future programming. Karen and Sarah W. will schedule the fall programs.


Publicly funded schools (higher ed) are required to have an Open Access Policy. It is up to the University to decide what the policy will be and the policy will be for all three campuses. There are many issues to consider. Will the policy apply to all faculty and APs? What about affiliated researchers? Sarah S. will chair an internal library task force to identify resources and infrastructure needs for different OA policy scenarios.

A proposal for the Open Access Policy is due Jan. 1, 2014 and will include a detailed description of Open Access, the Task Force recommendations, and a timeline for implementation. The policy will have implications for IDEALS such as knowing what is being published, capture and tracking of publications, and reporting requirements. There are also copyright considerations, and training and education implications.

**Following the 8/27 DMPTool webinar (“Talking points for meeting with institutional stakeholders”), discuss preparations for gathering requirements for campus Research Data Service** (Sarah W.)

The discussion following the 8/27 DMPTool Webinar included concerns about how to better understand the needs of researchers on campus regarding data management.

Subject specialists need more education and information in order to talk to constituents about data services. Suggestions included developing some standard language (elevator pitch) for talking about data and/or creating a list of standardized questions or some kind of profile sheet for needs assessment.

As a precursor, the Campus Data Stewardship Committee is reworking the budge part of the accepted proposal as it wrestles with unknowns related to technical requirements. The impact of the Open Access Policy mandate will also need to be considered.

The Scholarly Commons Data information web pages would be a logical home for documentation to help educate subject specialists about data services. A one page summary information sheet would be helpful for future outreach. A task-based approach to the information might help users determine what they need to do and when.

Meeting adjourned at 4:30 pm