eResearch Implementation Committee meeting
8/14/2013, 3:00 p.m.

Attendees: Sarah Williams (chair), Peg Burnette, Laila Hussein Moustafa, Karen Hogenboom, Sarah Shreeves, Beth Sandore, Christie Wiley, Susan Braxton, Harriett Green (recorder)

Agenda:
- **Announcements about 8/27 DMPTool webinar and Research Data Services Interest Group (RDSIG) planning**
  - Sarah set up DMPwebinar meeting on the 27th
  - Planning for the RDSIG fall meeting: Karen and Sarah will draft a fall schedule and get the room reserved; are meeting on Friday, welcome ideas from committee members
    - Merinda and Sarah having fall and spring forums with invited speakers, Victoria Stodden coming during Open Access Week, Wednesday the 23rd – statistician at Columbia, research on sharing code (scripts to transform data to full programming code)
- **Discuss having eResearch update at a fall faculty meeting (5-10 minutes)**
  - Sarah W. asked if the committee should talk at one of the fall meetings:
    - Would be a way to promote the Interest Group
    - Could combine with the update on research data services – in October or November? Research data service will be headquartered in the library, and we will be materially engaged
  - Beth: Funding will be in place for first two years; Library doesn’t want to overpromise, but will discuss what can be done with a limited funding and ways to grow support for the service.
  - Will aim for October – Sarah W. will contact Lori Mestre to get on the agenda and will work with Beth on possible presentation closer to the date.
- **Update on EZID pilot (5-10 minutes)**
  - Susan gave an update: There is a draft plan under review by the team. Issues include:
    - Defining what a data set is and what would be eligible;
    - Three (3) different metadata profiles are allowed within EZID – do we want to choose one or let the data set determine the most appropriate profile?
    - Decide whether restricted data sets and data sets w/o a URL could be included; who in the library would do the data entry
    - Figuring out the mechanism for collecting metadata from author
• Development of educational guides on IP issues—Peg has created one that could be a model
• Beth asked if these issues came up for other institutions: Susan said that the issue of defining data sets is fairly common
• How can other campus units become involved?
  o Put out a general call through eWeek about the pilot and ask people to self-select if interested in participating? That could generate a broad range of participants.
  o Sarah: Also could contact people who are/have been depositing data sets in IDEALS.
• Perhaps worthwhile to talk to Purdue, who is the EZID administrator for this region—talk with them about their experience, type of response they received from campus members, amount of outreach needed, how much total work it is, etc.
• Also need to consider the type of preservation standards for the pilot
• There is a DataCite meeting in September (19-20), Research Data Alliance is the 16th-18th—send someone to it? Beth is willing to ask John Wilkin if someone can go → If anyone is interested, contact Beth
• NYT article today on shared research data

Update/review of data pages for Scholarly Commons site (30 minutes)
• Sarah Shreeves is working with Christie and Peg on creating these pages for the Scholarly Commons site. Drafts are in the Box folder.
• Covering the basic data management principles: backup, Intellectual Property, documentation and metadata, sharing and license; creating a shared glossary
• Committee members should take a look at the pages – meant for students, faculty, and other outside users
• There are standard footers and headers with contact information
• They are looking for feedback on content and tone – is it covering the appropriate areas? Is it the right way to talk about data?
• Still have more to add, but please take a look – make comments on the pages themselves (as Word docs)
• IP pages will link extensively to the copyright pages on the Scholarly Commons webpages
• Some of the pages will be sent to the OTM in the VCR office
• Please send feedback within the next 2 weeks, then they will start publishing the pages.

Open discussion
- Sarah W.: Raised the issue of how to track research consultations involving data questions and noted that the Scholarly Commons does this type of tracking. Is this something that should be done across the library—will be asked for trends?
- Sarah S. stated that the Scholarly Commons has a customized section in DeskTracker to accommodate their non-traditional reference interactions
- Beth S.: Should we invite Sue Searing and Jen to the meeting?
- There are definite limitations to using Desk Tracker: there is no way to search through the text entries from Desk Tracker, and you need customized sections to filter out the data.
- It was suggested to bring in Sue and Jen to brainstorm how to gather the information on data consultations.
  - Should we have people sent to a more detailed form for extensive questions?
  - How do we find out the specific people who are answering these questions?
- Sarah W. had to answer survey for ARL Spec Kit, thought that tracking would be useful for the next time the library has to fill out a similar questionnaire.
- Campus administration is very interested in metrics and the evidence that X is growing → If we come up with a tool after discussion with Sue and Jen (including a targeted pilot with the developed tool), then can justify investment in research data services
- Have a different form than what’s at the reference desk
- Beth: There could be a knee jerk response potentially of “It’s just a reference question”
  - Sarah S.: The question involves very different content not covered on Desk Tracker
  - Karen: Need to know on a more fine-grained level what’s going on, especially with the money being invested in RDS
- Beth: prepare for the meeting with Sue and Jen → what’s going on at other institutions?
- Sarah: will talk with Jen and Sue about coming to a meeting
- Harriett: ASIST webinar next week, will report out
- Sarah W.: how to get out webinar and meeting information to Interest Group? Have a blog? A listserv? A Moodle forum?
  - A listserv would likely be most effective for sending out news – set up through CITES
  - Should automatically subscribe people? – too much blowback
  - Will promote the listserv, have the subscribe link in the signature of all the announcements
  - A blog would be good to have a place where people can find information about data management activities – could be an early part of the RDS
- Sarah S.: for the DMPTool blog, have a schedule of posts (2X per week) so that there is a regular postings, but people can post in between
- Start in a month or so – Beth could sit down with Heather Murphy to develop a visual presence for the RDS, look at publish.illinois.edu blog skins; may have Douglas Heintz work on it too
- Sarah W. will request a CITES listserv address for the interest group.

Meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.