**E-research Implementation Group**  
**4/27/2013 meeting notes**

present: Kyle Rimkus, Laila Hussein, Tom Habing, Harriet Green, Sarah Williams, Susan Braxton, Peg Burnette

notetaker: Kyle Rimkus

**Housekeeping**

Q: How should we share meeting notes? Box, web page? Or continue to use current task force web page?  
A: Sarah will set up a page in the CMS where we can post meeting notes.

Q: What should we do with all of the task force content available on Box, and how should we provide access to members of the task force and implementation committees?  
A: Sarah will start fresh with a new Box folder for the implementation group.

**Group Charge Revision**

Q: Does this group have any teeth in recommending technical/storage solutions beyond facilitating communication and building organizational structure?

The task force recommended new positions on the campus level that would be more directly involved in this; those positions have not yet materialized.

The recommendations of this group would feed into that. But this group would be more outward-facing -- helping patrons with their questions, etc.

This group would keep the conversation alive while waiting for people to get hired, etc. Perhaps this group should have an advisory role for articulating needs based on interaction with the community.

Group is responsible for advancing our initiatives in a broad sense. This could include advising on and articulating the needs of particular projects.

Bullet point 3 may need to be strengthened.
We can assess needs on an ongoing basis and articulate them to those who need to hear it.

The charge should be restructured to better separate internal work vs external, outward-facing communication.

The charge may not need language about the Committee or AUL.

Sarah will make changes to Charge, Beth will review them, then it will go to Executive Committee for feedback.

**Review of Report Timeline and Listed Recommendations**

Q: What are the priorities? What do we hope to accomplish? Who will be willing to focus on them? (Itemized review of items in Final Report)

*Transition the eResearch Task Force into the eResearch Implementation Committee with an initial term of up to two years: COMPLETE.*

*Organize the Library Research Data Services group (similar to that formed by Cornell’s library):*

We could potentially host in-person meetings of a larger interest group.

Would a wiki be useful? The group doesn't seem too keen on wikis.

We could issue a call to LIBFAC for participants.

Cornell however has a very formal structure.

We would like to recruit people that would be willing to participate in some way in small working groups.

Essentially what we are talking about is creating an e-research interest group.

*Establish the Scholarly Commons as the “hub” for Library eResearch support: What does that actually mean? (To be discussed further when Scholarly Commons people are able to attend a meeting).*
If we hire a data person in Grainger, should Engineering faculty go to Grainger or through Scholarly Commons?

It might be interesting to establish teams of people that get assigned to different researchers.

The marketing and outreach component could help clarify whom to contact for what question, and set things up in a way so people know how to assemble the appropriate team to address questions as they arise.

We could take advantage of internal marketing funding to enhance web presence for this.

*Involve more librarians locally in development of the DMPTool:* something to discuss further with Sarah Shreeves

*Identify support needs (librarian, student and staff) to expand the existing Scholarly Commons research data services (web documentation, data manipulation, instruction, and training):* also a SC issue.

*Working with the OVCR, continue the analysis of NSF and other agency data management plans:* for Bill Mischo and Mary Schlembach

*Identify external groups and organizations for eResearch involvement, & recommend individuals to represent, and establish active Library participation:* the need to advise on opportunities so that people stay plugged in to what is going on on a national level. A lower priority, potentially a "phase two" effort.

*Set in place a mechanism and enlist the efforts of Library subject specialists and data services librarians to track Big Data and other eResearch funding Programs and Proposals:* grad student project, medium priority.

*Participate in CIC Data Storage Working Group conversations:* (no significant discussion)

*Engage in campus-wide researcher profile service conversations:* (no significant discussion)
Expand support for BibApp/Connections researcher profile service on campus: (no significant discussion)

Establish and cultivate a Library Research Data Services group: (no significant discussion)

Develop and sustain a virtual service catalog of research data services available to Urbana campus researchers, on and off-campus: phase two, not a top priority for the moment.

Develop research data consulting service guidelines for Library subject liaisons and data services librarians for Library eResearch support, coordinating the development, marketing, and outreach, and providing a cohesive foundation for more in-depth services offered in Library units for researchers on campus: will be folded into the special interest group to be formed.

**Other Questions**

Q: When we talk about "campus" offering services, who are we talking about?
A: There is another committee chaired by Beth Sandore that tries to articulate services and argue for them at high levels of the university.

Q: Does this group need to submit a request for a grad hourly worker for the Fall?
A: Perhaps (unresolved in meeting).

Q: What is relationship between Research Data Manager and the Scholarly Commons?

This may be spelled out in some of the job descriptions floating out there.

Campus would like a "Director" who would have a separate unit.

The task force had recommended that this person reside in the library.

It is expected that this person would report through the library, and that the entire group would be based administratively in the library.

It is amorphous right now, but we expect this person would be integrated
somehow into the Scholarly Commons.

**Top Priorities**
- Organizing e-research interest group in library
- Addressing question of how to turn the Scholarly Commons into an e-research hub