CAPT Meeting Minutes
February 25, 2016
1:30 p.m.

Present: Tom Teper, JoAnn Jacoby, Beth Namachchivaya, Tom Habing, Michael Norman, Lynn Wiley, Bill Mischo, Jim Dohle, Suzanne Chapman, Bill Ingram, Chris Prom, Josh Harris, for Kyle Rinkus.

Absent: Kyle Rinkus

Tom Teper opened the meeting requesting changes or questions to the agenda. There were no questions or changes. The January meeting minutes were unanimously approved.

Tom Teper announced that CAPT meeting minutes will now be posted on the CAPT webpage. Also, there will be a link in LON every month to view the meeting minutes.

Tom Habing shared the new CAPT webpage with the committee.

Bill Mischo gave a report on Search, Discovery, and Delivery working group. (See attached)

Michael Norman gave a report on Cataloging and Metadata working group. (See attached)

Lynn Wiley gave a report on Electronic Resources working group. (See attached)

Michael Norman gave an update on CARLI and ILS. Campus is reviewing the RFP. Michael has the RFP if anyone would like to stop by and see it. He cannot share this electronically. Michael is hopeful that everything will be decided by the end of summer. He stated that ProQuest is probably out. They are looking at open source software. We may even have our own version of Vufind. If we want another discovery layer, we would have to go out for bid. This is a top priority for the working group. Michael encouraged all committee members to stop by his office to review the RFP.

Tom Habing gave an update on Campus Power Plant Integration. A solution development team was established to look at requirements from past committees and to look for solutions. The Library, specifically, Library IT, will take advantage of Technology Services virtual infrastructure and virtual storage service. Library IT has been very engaged in this process on campus and has been part of many teams. Within the next six months, the Library will be moving our virtual server over to Technology Services virtual server. This will save the Library over $500,000.00 over the next five years. Tom feels very confident that Technology Services will supply adequate support. Tom stated that the infrastructure that will be left with Library IT will be our Tier 2 storage. Tom reported that Technology Services is looking to outsource their Tier 1 helpdesk services. An RFP has been issued. Since several members missed Tom’s presentation, he will upload the Power Point Presentation into Box and share with the committee.

Meeting adjourned at 3:20 p.m.
Search, Discovery, and Delivery Working Group Report
Bill Mischo
February 2016

We are completing an analysis of the Easy Search transaction logs covering the dates 06-20-2014 to 06-19-2015 — roughly the 2014-2015 academic year of 365 days. — and are looking at overarching usage patterns. Here are composite numbers for searches by month, by day, and by hour for 1,271,603 searches performed from the Gateway or departmental library pages. This is also relevant to our discussion about Business Intelligence tools and data analytics tools. Each of these derived tables are generated with a SQL command (see example below) over transaction logs that record individual user actions in rows from multiple tables.

Pulling from our custom transaction logs, here is a current breakdown of all the clickthroughs since 2015-10-25 from the bento displays. I can generate this via one SQL command. This report shows the link that was clicked (pulled from a more descriptive data dictionary), the total number of times it was clicked, and the percent of the total that this represents. One overarching bento design principle is that we would expect to see heavy use of the displayed direct full-text links. We are seeing that. We’re also seeing strong use of the suggestive prompts that we are presenting to users. Interestingly, there two most frequent clickthroughs are the expanded title displays for individual records. In the case of Ebsco and Scopus this may indicate an interest in viewing an abstract. Or it may indicate confusion on the part of the patron who be right clicking or clicking on the title thinking they are getting the full text. The Discovery Team will look at this in the next few months. For VuFind, there may be some information we should add to the short display.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Clicked</th>
<th># clicks</th>
<th>% of clicks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VuFind Brief Record Link</td>
<td>55676</td>
<td>23.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebsco Brief Record Link</td>
<td>49859</td>
<td>21.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebsco All Matches Link</td>
<td>26837</td>
<td>11.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebsco Get PDF Full-Text Link</td>
<td>16472</td>
<td>7.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus Brief record Link</td>
<td>13195</td>
<td>5.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VuFind All Matches Link</td>
<td>8156</td>
<td>3.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat Search in Easy Search</td>
<td>7712</td>
<td>3.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus Get Full-Text Link</td>
<td>7459</td>
<td>3.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did You Mean Spelling Change</td>
<td>5105</td>
<td>2.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus All Matches Link</td>
<td>4713</td>
<td>2.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebsco Get Full-Text DOI Link</td>
<td>4657</td>
<td>1.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebsco Look for Full-Text OpenURL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td>4043</td>
<td>1.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VuFind Direct Full-Text Link</td>
<td>3921</td>
<td>1.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion Direct Link</td>
<td>3918</td>
<td>1.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion AtoZ List link</td>
<td>3723</td>
<td>1.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrossRef Title Word Matches</td>
<td>3565</td>
<td>1.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus Look for Full-Text OpenURL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td>2209</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>TF-IDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VuFind All Matches Link</td>
<td>2174</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion Direct DOI Link</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion Journal and Article Locator</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>0.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Share Added Link</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Scholar Added Link</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WorldCat Discovery Added Link</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion Limit to Title Search</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Share Added Link</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-do Search in Easy Search</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Site Search Link</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion Ask a Librarian Link</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSTOR Top Subject link</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubmed Top Subject Link</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERIC Top Subject link</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BusinessSource Complete Top Subject Link</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion Libguide Link</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA Bibliography Top Subject link</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSPEC Top Subject Link</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grainger Engineering Library Link</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Abstracts Top Subject Link</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsychInfo Top Subject Link</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociological Abstracts Top Subject link</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History and Philosophy Library Link</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Full-text Top Subject Link</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistics and Language Behavior Top Subject Link</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABIInform Top Subject Link</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medline Top Subject Link</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America History and Life Top Subject Link</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LISA Top Subject link</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MathSciNet Top Subject Link</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musci and PA Library Link</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medline Pubmed Top Subject Link</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature and Language Library link</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOSIS Top Subject Link</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compendex Top Subject Link</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricker Library Link</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RILM Top Subject Link</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSHEL Library Link</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consumer health Complete Top Subject link
ACES Funk Library Link
Library Contact mail to Mischo
Music Index Top Subject Link
LIS Source Top Subject Link
Feedback Link mail to Mischo
Library Contact mail to Wroth
Proquest Link
BEL Library link
WPSA Top Subject link
Physical Education Index Top Subject link
Biological Sciences Virtual Library link
PAIS Top Subject Link
Chemistry Library link
Map Library Link
GeoRef Top Subject link
LIS Virtual Library Link
I-Share Brief record Link
GEOBASE Top Subject link
Mathematics Library Link
Empty
IAS Library Link
Library Contact mail to Carns
Library Contact mail to Smith
Index to Performing Arts Top Subject Link
NULL
E-Resources Link
Library Contact mail to Trei
Library Contact mail to Rudasill
NULL
VuFind res direct link
Library Contact mail to Quinn
NULL
Google Book Added Link
Library Contact mail to Mischo
Library Contact mail to Burnette
Library Contact mail to jmj
Library Contact mail to O'Brien
Library Contact mail to Schlembach
Library Contact mail to Yoo-Song
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Library Contact mail to edvince</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mistake</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Share Direct Full-text Link</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We recommend the implementation of the bento versions of the Gateway tab “Books” and the Gateway tab “Articles” searches. They are:

http://search.grainger.illinois.edu/discovery2/splitsearch.asp?typeofsearch=keyword&searcharg=compact+abelian+groups&selection=books

http://search.grainger.illinois.edu/discovery2/splitsearch.asp?typeofsearch=keyword&searcharg=hypatia+of+alexandria&selection=articles

The Books search displays VuFind UIUC results in the left column, I-Share results in the center, and Google Book and Hathi results in the right column – followed by the identified most relevant subject disciplinary library and librarian contact below in the right column. One question would be: should the local UIUC VuFind results be on the left or center? Some research suggests that users read screens from left to right; other research indicates the center column is the most prominent.

The Articles search displays Ebsco results on the left, Scopus results in the center, the relevant subject disciplinary A&I result matches and links on the right – with the departmental library and contact information and CrossRef/Additional Resources below on the right.

Some of you saw these numbers from February 3, 2016 earlier. We launched the bento version of Easy Search on October 25, 2015, which put us through the 16th week of use at this point. The bento version is presently implemented for Multi-Subject Resources searches from the Gateway. The few general comments we’ve received have been quite favorable, particularly with regard to the convenience of the one-click full-text access. We received two questions (on the same day) regarding an issue with DOI (Digital Object Identifier) searching and one question on the author search algorithm in Scopus. These issues have been resolved. We’ve instrumented custom transaction logs to record the bento user actions in the same way as we have been doing with the Easy Search custom logs, although with bento we can be more granular in what we record as clickthroughs.

There have been 224,325 searches performed in the bento version since 10-25. During that same time, there were 184,133 searches done within the classic Easy Search structure: the Gateway Journal Articles tab, the Gateway Books tab, the Gateway Journal Title searches, the 20+ departmental sites using an ES widget, advanced search native mode searches, and any search where the user selected a disciplinary subject area other than Multi-Subject Resources. The bento searches comprise 54.91% of the total Gateway searches performed.

There were 184,581 clickthroughs from bento version results pages and 168,690 clickthroughs from classic version results. Again, there will be a higher clickthrough ratio within the classic search since
users have to click on a target to see any actual matches. In the bento version, we are showing by default 5 Ebsco hits, 5 Scopus hits, and 10 VuFind hits so users can often determine relevancy by examining these limited number of matches and do not have to click into the native mode targets.

There were 6774 clickthroughs from bento results (3.7% of all bento clickthroughs) on one of the two redo search in classic ES options.

31016 of the bento clickthroughs were to full-text links in Ebsco, Scopus, or VuFind. That's 16.804%. That's up 1.3% from the 2 week numbers. This feature eliminates the need for the user to go through the local link resolver which has been identified as a bottle-neck in a number of studies. There were an additional 1399 DOI link clicks and 2172 CrossRef link clicks.

50.3% of the clickthroughs are to expanded title displays in Ebsco, Scopus, or VuFind results and 17.89% are to the "see all results" links in Ebsco, Scopus, or VuFind.

Overall, 60.07% of the clickthroughs are to article targets (Ebsco, Scopus, CrossRef, DOI, A&i top picks) and 29.8% are to OPAC (VuFind, I-Share, or WorldCat Discovery) targets.

There were 110888 sessions for an average of 2.02 searches per session. In 5.1% of these sessions, the user clicked on one of the re-do the search in classic Easy Search links. In 75.92% of the sessions, the user clicked on something and in 15.9% of the sessions the user clicked on a full-text link.

Users are entering DOIs both in a standalone manner and also as parts of complete citations. DOIs were entered in approximately 2149 bento searches, 690 classic searches, and 4911 Journal and Article Locator searches – approximately 77 per day.
The new group had its first meeting on Feb. 8th. We agreed to meet once a month for the next year. We had a good discussion about the charge of the work group and what we want to accomplish this year. Each member had a chance to communicate what goals and outcomes the group will work toward over the next several meetings, concentrating on both MARC and non-MARC metadata work. It was iterated that we don’t want to meetings to concentrate only on MARC cataloging but make sure we examine the standards, policies, best practices, documentation, local procedures, and training for all cataloging and metadata work occurring throughout the Library. The group agreed that we need to stay in constant contact with the other CAPT working groups as metadata creation and bibliographic data is critical component to the success of most work throughout the teams. As there are many new employees working in the Library, the group also voiced the need to have public information sessions to showcase the standards, policies, and best practices we utilize for cataloging and metadata creation and how this impacts search, discovery delivery, and inventory of our collections.

Here are some of the topics the work group will examine and prioritize at upcoming meetings:

- Migration to new system in 2017; discuss clean-up and standardization of existing data to prepare for the eventually migration
- Study the current I-Share Next RFP document and determine how this could current and future work
- OCLC and CARLI reclamation project and enhancement of bibliographic data - There is possibility of updating of our holdings in WorldCat and enhance our existing records in the online catalog.
- What is the role of the online catalog going forward? What data do we put into the ILS catalog system? Is it everything we own, curate, and have access to (including free and open access resources)? How to we best incorporate our other local collections such as IDEALS, ContentDM, Archon, Research Datasets, LibGuides, and other local databases and repositories
- Policy on including open access and free materials in the online catalog or other Library systems
• Separate Record vs Single Record in the online catalog regarding inclusion of URL links to full-text content
• Representation of Loanable Tech in the online catalog
• Incorporating Linked Data into our workflows and discovery options
• Cataloging and representation of datasets in the Library’s discovery services
• IDEALS data cleanup
• Metadata Maker program
• CIC Cooperative Foreign Language Cataloging initiative
• WorldCat Discovery; all of the OCLC WorldCat iterations
• Moving to the OCLC WorldShare Management systems (eventual retirement of Connexion Client)
CAPT Electronic Resources WG report Feb 25th 2016

Areas pertaining to ER management (Meeting on December 2015) and discussion re authentication

- Authentication and network issues
  - EZ Proxy, proxy IP, Shibboleth, VPN,
  - Security
  - CITES role
  - Vendor issues
  - Data mining
- SFX management support and utilization
  - Admin side: activations, thresholds, Targets/objects etc.
  - CARLI side: KB updates, version updates, help
  - Reports and statistics
  - Web page display
  - Discover links
  - A-Z list
    - Duplication
    - Display
  - Data clean up
- Purchasing and procurement
  - Ordering, trials
  - Purchase orders and templates
  - Collection and title purchase
  - Tracking and documentation
  - Licensing and amendments
  - Campus rules
  - State rules
  - Sole source
  - RFP
- Vendor communication
  - Customer Service and Sales service
  - Acquisition
  - Hosted services
  - Administrative
  - Payment
  - Banner and all certification requirements
  - Misc signing docs
  - Tech issues
- Troubleshooting access
- Proactive and reactive
- User access
- Staff

- Outreach, communication and instruction
  - User info help
  - Updates inputs to and from public service
  - Updates to and from bibliographers
  - Forums

- Discovery (awareness and volume)
  - SFX
    - Ebooks to add
  - Cataloging and metadata
  - Easy Search
  - Google/ Google Scholar
  - A and I indexes

- Resource Types:
  - Serials (subscriptions vs aggregators/ packages versus title by title
  - Databases (Abstracts and Indexes, full text etc.)
  - Ebooks (packages, titles, aggregators)
  - Archives, back files

- Assessment/Statistics/Evaluations
- Documentation
  - Wiki (internal)
  - Resources used and locations

- Important Calendar dates (Budget related, Cancel and Renewal related)

Updated 1/27/2016 LW
Meeting on February 2016 (new member Jamie and round robin and roles

PROJECTS Projects for 2016: assign priority

- **Sole source** work tracking and monitoring: documentation
- **Add ebooks to SFX** for more discoverability: requires task force
- CAM work on **serial record updates** based on best practice model (goals update records, label collections, provide SFX link, add ES API links on record if feasible)
- Implement **Project management software** to track all projects and ongoing work
  - Trying out SmartSheets Jira and Trello
- **Troubleshooting:** review and report and categorize, Problem of the month! Are we capturing all needed for good resolution: team to look at best ways to check access and discovery (Alex St Press example)
- **Assessment work:**
  - Forum in March for cancels (EBSCO, Harrassowitz and MISC)
  - Any ongoing Work with Collections Office and Assessment Coordinator and CAM on data
- **SFX work for title display and enhanced access** (duplication and Display Logic)
  - Track all display logic testing and results and put into production
  - Track all overlap work by Target titles and date and what was accomplished
  - Same with deduping
- **Outline relational database and test a model to provide full information on commercially bought titles with links to Vendor (Username/password) to vendor license/agreements/terms, consortium links, POs, invoices, access fees and Trails (new) part of infrastructure work in preparation for migration**
- **Documentation:** Wiki in acquisitions and links to IT WIKI as needed
- **Update LibGuides** for more Eresources information
  - Start with ebooks and Eserials packages

- Solicit input from Public Service faculty and staff on their needs;
- Same from Bibliographers