Collection Development Committee
Meeting Summary
July 21, 2005


**FY06 budget:** Karen reviewed the money available for distribution: the $200,000 in permanent money (distributed as temporary money in FY05) has already been allocated to subject lines through the divisions; there is $200,000 available to us as one-time money from FY05; and, there is $525,000 in new recurring money, of which $400,000 can be dispersed with the remaining possibly being held in case of a campus rescission. Karen reminded the committee that with the support of Paula and the Library Executive Committee, budget allocation for FY06 would be done by Karen with the advice of the CDC, and that we would not be using the 2% reallocation process, or divvying the money up among divisions for the divisions to decide.

Karen and Lisa reviewed a number of items that we need to keep in mind related to budget distribution, as follows:

The Committee reviewed division recommendations on allocation. Jane Block had sent in comments from Arts & Humanities previously, as she was not able to attend this meeting. There were no reports from Central Public Services or Law. Following are ideas that were put forth:

- find some other way to cover the possible rescission needs from the collections budget and use all $525,000
- put out a call for requests for the one-time $200,000, with an emphasis on retrospective items regardless of format
- provide some inflation relief to subject funds, and use a 3 year rolling average of inflation to determine the average inflation figure per fund
- use the data from the matrix to help make informed allocations
- cover the status quo needs of er14 but continue to review and cancel; alternately grow this fund
- put money into interdisciplinary journals
- put money into new programs
- continue to build our preservation and conservation funds
- create a collections access fund for bibliographic records
- create a fund from which to pay our consortial partnership agreement costs (Springer, Wiley, etc.)
- consider providing some of our smaller subject funds with more money to make them more viable
- Medical studies are important
- Global and international studies are important

The ideas with the greatest consensus include using all $525,000, providing at least some relief for inflation in the subject funds, using a 3 year rolling average to determine inflation, put money into
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interdisciplinary regardless of format (i.e. also include e-resources), new program money is important.

Karen and Esra will quickly develop a draft allocation based on many of these ideas and send it out for CDC feedback. We are continuing to work on a CIC Elsevier print archive for 2006, which we know is important to a number of funds in managing any possible cancellations.

**er14 report:** the er14 Review Committee has finished its work and a final draft report was distributed for comment. Suggestions were made and the group will incorporate these; Karen will send the final report out to the faculty.

**Preservation:** Tom gave a brief report on preservation activities, which are continuing at a healthy rate.

**Farewells:** The Committee bid farewell to Lisa German, who is moving to a new position at Penn State. Interns Michael Norman and Setsuko Noguchi will be leaving the committee as their one year appointment draws to a close; they have been active members and their work has been appreciated.

Next meeting: set for August 23