Collection Development Committee  
Meeting Summary  
July 14, 2005


(note: this is the June 2005 meeting, rescheduled because of conflicts with ALA.)

**FY05 and FY06 Budget Information:** Karen reported on the prospective FY06 budget, with additional comments from the 3 Executive Committee members (Block, Ingold and Wiley) who are CDC members. In addition to the expected $157,000 in approval plan pre-pays from FY05 towards the FY06 approval plan budget, the final accounting from FY05 provided an additional $239,894.11 to collections for a total of $396,894.11. This is one-time money, of which we may need some $200,000 for approval plan prepayment. Lisa German is checking on this for us. In addition, there may be $525,000 in recurring collection dollars available for allocation for FY06. The amount available is dependent upon a 2% rescission that the campus has asked units to hold back.

Various scenarios play out at this point:

- we need to hold back the 2% rescission money and none of the $525,000 is available until or if this money is released by the campus; obviously this scenario becomes more difficult for our collections the longer the money is held, because of the need to make serial cancellation decisions
- Paula is able to negotiate a lower amount to be held, leaving some portion of the $525,000 available for collections
- We do not have to hold any rescission money

Karen asked that CDC representatives discuss these two situations with the divisions, seeking input on 3 items: 1.) how to allocate the one-time money; 2) how to allocate recurring money if it becomes available; and 3) what is the impact of serial cancellations on various funds, should no recurring money be available.

Karen further noted that after discussions with the Library Executive Committee and Paula, she will not be implementing the 2% reallocation process, but will be looking for ways to allocate that continue to support our agreement with the Senate Library Committee for differential allocations. The 2% reallocation process has been divisive, and even allocating out to divisions for their further allocation has proven difficult. Karen will be looking for feedback from the divisions and making allocations based on this advice.

Wendy reported that the er14 fund needs about $68,000 to maintain status quo. Lisa noted that there are more cataloging records available for purchase and that we might consider adding a budget line to support this. One new pilot project has been announced from Casalini, whereby they will be providing full cataloging records to LC, for sale to libraries at $12 Euro per record. We receive some 2000 Italian books. There was discussion about the status of cooperative
cataloging, overlap in acquisitions with other CIC libraries, and the use of collection money to purchase bibliographic access. Karen and Lisa will be checking on how other research libraries are responding, and Karen suggested that the OCLC collection analysis tool that will be available soon for use by University of Chicago, Northwestern and UIUC may help us understand our overlap and decide if there are other ways to address this access issue. We will be meeting on July 26 for our regular CDC meeting.

Budget Implementation Task Force: Karen reported that she had received some additional written commentary but had not yet written the summary. Cindy Ingold reported on behalf of the Area Studies division. Karen will summarize and provide to the Library Executive Committee.

E-Resources Update: Wendy reported that FY05 invoices continue to need some work. She had previously reported on platform changes. The Springer migration of Kluwer titles has been straightened out in the ORR, although in some cases Brill titles show up when we really do not have access to them. The increase for StatUSA was so steep that we have cancelled this, after consultation with subject librarians. We discussed the Elsevier deal on Scopus, and Wendy will put the details of this offer up on the e-resources forum. As a result of Scopus, Web of Knowledge is not going up in price. Karen, Lisa and Wendy also reported on discussions with Elsevier at ALA regarding a CIC print archive deal, which is on-going.

Karen asked JoAnn Jacoby to report on questions that arose in the Social Sciences division about consortial agreements. One concern in writing collaborative agreements is in telling other libraries when print is dropped in the receiving library. Sometimes the library itself doesn’t know for a long time, but when a library does know, it should be obligated to tell its consortial partners about the change and the potentially lost access. Karen will ask Cindy Clennon about our practice in the CIC and we will reaffirm this agreement with our University of Illinois sister libraries. We do get credit for dropped titles, and subject librarians are told about this as soon as we know.

Preservation Update: In Tom’s absence, Karen, Cindy, Tina, Setsuko and David reported on the recent initial meeting about the undergraduate and graduate surveys as they pertain to collections. The Services Advisory Committee summarizes the survey and Karen will ask Esra to work on specific data when she returns in late August.

ALA Reports: Two items were reported out of ALA meetings. Alvan noted that RBMS is hosting hearings on the transfer of materials to special collections. Lynn reported that she is now serving on the CRL Collections and Services Advisory Board and noted that we will work on putting CRL records into our catalog in the next few months.

CDC Membership Change: Karen reported the following changes to CDC with the August meeting: Pat Allen will replace Katie Newman; Tina Chrząstowski will replace Tim Cole; Janice Pilch will replace Cindy Ingold; and the two interns will be Lura Joseph and Shu Yong Jiang. Alvan Bregman will be on sabbatical and his replacement is yet to be announced; Lisa German will be leaving to accept her new position at Penn State and an acting Acquisitions Librarian has not yet been named.

Next meeting is set for July 26, 2:00 p.m., 428 Library.